

SUMMARY OF 365th MEETING OF SRC-NCTE

Sl. No.	Brief Description	Remarks of SRC
1.	Confirmation of Minutes of 364 th Meeting of SRC held on 28 th to 29 th September, 2018	Confirmed
2.	Action Taken Report (ATR) on of 363 rd Meeting of SRC held on 17 th to 19 th September, 2018	

(Dr. J.D.Singh)
Member

(Dr. J.Prasad)
Member

(Smt. Gayatri T.N.)
Member

(Sh. A.Narender)
Member

(S.Sathnam)
Chairperson

1	SRCAPP2 01630157	M.P.Ed	Mother Terasa College of Physical Education, Veerapatti Village, Mettusalai, Illuppur Taluk, Veerapatti City, Pudukottai District-622102, Tamil Nadu	TN	<p>1. This is a long – drawn case.</p> <p>1.1 The applicant has removed all the deficiencies listed by us in the 363rd meeting.</p> <p>1.2 Only, for purposes of our record, obtain the following from the applicant:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(i) Original LUC with affixation of the Tahsildar’s official seal. (ii) An English copy of the Title deed.</p> <p>2. (i). FDRs are in order. (ii). FDRs for the B.P.Ed course should also be for Rs. 7+5 Lakhs Obtain FDRs for Rs. 4 Lakhs more from them.</p> <p>4.1. It has already been decided by the SRC that the ‘date line’ for issue of FR will not apply to this case since</p>
---	---------------------	--------	--	----	--

					<p>this has been a pipeline case.</p> <p>4.2. Accordingly, issue LOI for M.P.Ed (1 unit).</p>
2	APS02712	D.El.Ed.	National Teacher Training College, Medak, Telangana	TE	<p>1. The court order dt. 16.03.18 is seen. Unfortunately, it was not put up earlier.</p> <p>2. We have seen the applicant's representation dt. 02.01.2018 which, unfortunately, was not also put up earlier.</p> <p>3.1. As claimed by the applicant SRC had cleared their EC in its 342nd meeting and the adequacy of their built-up area (in its 344th meeting).</p> <p>3.2. We need not write to SCERT because there is</p>

					<p>no reference from them. We can write to the applicant as stated in 3.1. Above.</p> <p>Remind them about our observations on their D.EL.Ed faculty list communicated on 24.08.2017.</p>
3	SRCAPP7 79	D.El.Ed	Loyola College of Education, Plot No. 107, Rekurthy Village, Malkapoor Post, Karimnagar City and Taluk, Karimnagar District-505451, Andhra Pradesh.	AP	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The applicant College has not complied with the formalities prescribed for closure. 2. They have reported that they closed down the D.El.Ed. programme with the permission of the SCERT which is the affiliating body. 3.1 Let us check with the SCERT whether really they had given permission; and, if so, whether they had ascertained that the applicant had complied with all the formalities prescribed. 3.2 If the SCERT had looked into these

					requirements, then, we need not hold up this case. We can proceed to grant permission and close the file.
4	SRCAPP137	D.El.Ed.	Surya College of Elementary Educational in Diploma D.El.Ed, Khasara No. 946, Plot No. 27/A, Marikal Village & Post, Dhanwada Taluk, Mahabubnagar City & District-509351, Telangana	TA	<p>1. It is now reported that the college has submitted all the documents required in closure cases.</p> <p>2. The only lapse is that they have not applied on-line.</p> <p>3. Since all other formalities have been completed and even the affiliating body (SCERT, Telangana) has given an NOC, we need not hold up this case only for the technical deficiency of, not applying on-line.</p> <p>4. There will be no monetary loss to Government on account of this lapse.</p> <p>2.1 In the result, and for the reasons given above, their request is accepted.</p> <p>2.2 Issue permission for closure of the D.El.Ed. course w.e.f. 2015-16.</p>

					<p>3. Withdraw recognition given for D.El.Ed in 2012.</p> <p>4. Inform SCERT.</p> <p>5. Close the file.</p>
5	SRCAPP2 326	M.Ed	Koottalumoodu Arulmigu Bhadreswari Devasthanam College of Education, Plot No. 157/23A, 23B1, Painkulam, Vilavancode, Kanyakumari-629173, Tamilnadu	TN	<p>1. This is a case of total inaction by the applicant.</p> <p>2.1 They have done nothing to start the M.Ed. Course.</p> <p>2.2 Now, they want to close down.</p> <p>3.1 Their concern is about retrieval of the FDRs.</p> <p>3.2 They may not produce the documents. This case will unnecessarily be pending.</p> <p>4.1 Let us ask the affiliating University whether they have any objection to close down of this course.</p> <p>4.2 If they have no objection let us issue the permission to close down, withdraw the recognition given to M.Ed. (1 Unit) and, close the case</p>
6	SRCAPP2 01630096	M.Ed	Adikavi Nannaya University, Velugubanda Village, Rajah Narendra Nagar,	AP	<p>1.1 They have removed the deficiencies in the Faculty List.</p>

			Rajahmundry City, East Godavari District-533296, Andhra Pradesh (State University)		<p>1.2 But, they have not shown in the Faculty List the deployment of 2 Faculties as Professor and 2 Faculties as Associate Professor. They should submit a revised list accordingly duly authenticated by the Registrar.</p> <p>2. They should also provide proof of their website, whether it is operational and, whether all relevant details have been uploaded.</p> <p>3. The dateline prescribed by the Supreme Court for issue of FRs w.e.f. 2018-19 lapsed on 3rd March 2018. That being so, this case can be considered only w.e.f. 2019-20.</p>
7	APSO165 2	B.Ed.	Sri. Satyam College of Education, Ballary, Karnataka	KA	<p>1. This college was given recognition to run a B.Ed. programme.</p> <p>2. They were given a condition to shift into own premises within a stipulated period.</p> <p>3.1 While examining the shifting issue some</p>

					<p>deficiencies were noticed.</p> <p>3.2 Since they did not respond to our notices for removal of deficiencies, the recognition granted was withdrawn.</p> <p>5.1 Our order was set aside by the Appellate Authority.</p> <p>5.2 Action was initiated to inspect the new premises.</p> <p>5.3 In the meanwhile, because of alleged delay, they went to the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>5.4 The Hon'ble High Court did not accept their request for admissions w.e.f. 2014-15. But, directed the SRC to take decisions, within 6 weeks, on the points raised by the Appellate Authority.</p> <p>5.1 VT Inspection was conducted. It exposed many deficiencies.</p> <p>5.2 But, because of pressure of new cases (that were subject to the Supreme Court</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

					<p>prescribed dateline for issue of FR w.e.f. 2018-19), this old case was taken up for consideration in late 2017.</p> <p>5.3 The deficiencies identified were notified, through a SCN, to the college for response on 11th September, 2018.</p> <p>6.1 They have given a reply on 04.10.18. It is seen that the following deficiencies still remain:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">i) The LUC is not in proper form. It does not contain all relevant details. It is not approved by Competent Authority.ii) Building Plan is given. But, it does not give details. Earmarking of areas for different programmes is not indicated.iii) Neither in the Building Plan nor in the BCC the unit
--	--	--	--	--	---

					<p>of area-measurement is specified.</p> <p>iv) - The faculty List is approved; but, date of approval is not given.</p> <p>-7 out of the 16 persons (Sl.Nos.9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 16) have only been 'selected' and not 'appointed'.</p> <p>-Approval of the Faculty List is only on the basis of B.Ed. (1 Unit) and not B.Ed. (2 Units). The Additional Faculty for the 2nd unit have been shown without approval.</p> <p>-The deficiencies relating to Assistant Professor (Sociology), Assistant Professor (Fine Arts) and Assistant</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>Professor (Performing Arts), pointed out in our SCN, still persist.</p> <p>6.2 Issue a Show Cause Notice accordingly.</p> <p>7. This case has been pending since long. Please move it on priority.</p>
8	APSO0258	B.Ed.	Aradhana College of Education, Sy.no. 36 & 37, Kismathpur Village, Rajendra Nagar Mandal, Rangareddy District, Telangana-500086	AP	<p>1. We issued a SCN on 22.10.16.</p> <p>2. They have not yet cared to reply.</p> <p>3. Issue another SCN for withdrawal of recognition for failure to reply to our earlier SCN.</p> <p>4. Put up in the December meeting.</p>
9	APSO852 8	B.Ed.	Narayana College of Education, Padarupalli, A.K.Nagar Post, Nellore 524004 ,Andhra Pradesh	AP	<p>1. They have requested for permission to close down the college because of poor enrolment.</p> <p>2.1 They have not completed the formalities prescribed.</p> <p>2.2 May be, they are not aware of the requirements.</p> <p>2.3 Let us ask them to</p>

365th Meeting of SRC
Held on 31st October to 1st November, 2018

					<p>submit the documents required and the manner of submission of the request.</p> <p>3. Put up in the next meeting.</p>
10	SRCAPS O7688	B.Ed	Maheshwari College of Education, Kalavari Village, Bobbili Mandal, Vizianagaram District-535558, Andhra Pradesh	ÀP	<p>1. We issued a Show Cause Notice on 19.09.2016.</p> <p>2. They have not cared to reply till date.</p> <p>2.1 We may send a reminder before finally deciding this case.</p> <p>2.2 Issue a reminder giving time till 30.11.2018.</p> <p>3. Put up in the 366th Meeting.</p>
11	AOS0001 2 APSO400 2	B.Ed. M.Ed	Alagappa University, Department of Education, Karaikudi-630003, Tamilnadu	TN	<p>1.1 These changes will involve implications for adequacy of built-up area and faculty requirements.</p> <p>1.2 We have, therefore, to cause inspection if information is not already available on record.</p> <p>1.3 Inspection in this case will not be under Section 17. It will be under Section 14.</p>

					<p>1.4 Consequent upon information of the 2014 Regulations, the Hon'ble Supreme Court directed that all cases henceforth had to be processed under the 2014 Regulations only. Since the position relating to courses in which substantive changes had been made (e.g. B.Ed), their details had to be checked w.r.t. the new Regulation. This would take time. Therefore, the NCTE (HQ) decided to issue RPROs i.e, Revised Provisional Recognition Orders as an interim measure.</p> <p>1.5 Our inspection now will be for replacing the "Provisional" Recognition with a 'Regular' Recognition under the 2014 Regulations.</p> <p>1.6 In the event, our action will amount to virtual</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>‘FR’ of the programme(s) in their modified versions. That being so, it will be an inspection u/s 14 and Not u/s 17.</p> <p>1.7 Collect fees and cause inspection wherever necessary.</p> <p>1.8 Ask VTs to collect all relevant documents.</p> <p>1.9 Ask the college to furnish updated, approved Faculty lists for the new B.Ed and M.Ed programmes.</p> <p>2 We issued the RPRO on 01.07.2015.</p> <p>3. The University has not sent any reply to report that they have ensured full adherence to the norms/ standards of the 2014 Regulations.</p> <p>3.1 Issue a Show Cause Notice giving time till 30.11.2018.</p> <p>3.2 Ask them to furnish all relevant documents in support of the claims</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

					they may make. 4. Put up in the 366 th meeting.
12	APSO115 5 APSO526 7	B.Ed. M.Ed.	Vivekananda College of Education for Women, Elayampalayam, Tiruchengodu Taluk, Namakkal District-637205, Tamilnadu.	TN	<p>1. This is a RPRO case.</p> <p>2.1 In this case B.Ed (old 1 unit of 100) has become a B.Ed (2 new units of 50 each); and the one year course has become 2 year course. This will mean 200 students in the college at a time. In addition, here is an M.Ed course also.</p> <p>2.2 These changes will involve implications for adequacy of built-up area and faculty requirements.</p> <p>2.3 We have, therefore, to cause inspection if information is not already available on record.</p> <p>3.1 Inspection in this case will not be under Section 17. It will be under Section 14.</p> <p>3.2.1 Consequent upon information of the</p>

					<p>2014 Regulations, the Hon'ble Supreme Court directed that all cases henceforth had to be processed under the 2014 Regulations only. Since the position relating to courses in which substantive changes had been made (e.g. B.Ed), their details had to be checked w.r.t. the new Regulation. This would take time. Therefore, the NCTE (HQ) decided to issue RPROs i.e, Revised Provisional Recognition Orders as an interim measure.</p> <p>3.2.2. Our inspection now will be for replacing the "Provisional" Recognition with a 'Regular' Recognition under the 2014 Regulations.</p> <p>3.2.3. In the event, our action will amount to virtual 'FR' of the programme(s) in their</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

				<p>modified versions. That being so, it will be an inspection u/s 14 and Not u/s 17.</p> <p>4.1. Collect fees and cause inspection wherever necessary.</p> <p>4.2. Ask VTs to collect all relevant documents.</p> <p>4.3. Ask the college to furnish updated, approved Faculty lists for the new B.Ed and M.Ed programmes.</p> <p>5. Put up in the 367th meeting.</p>
13	APS01226 APS08248	B.Ed. M.Ed.	Bishop Agniswamy College of Education, Door No.7/517, Muttom Post, Kanyakumari District-629202, Tamilnadu	<p>1.1 These changes will involve implications for adequacy of built-up area and faculty requirements.</p> <p>1.2 We have, therefore, to cause inspection if information is not already available on record.</p> <p>1.3 Inspection in this case will not be under Section 17. It will be under Section 14.</p>

					<p>1.4 Consequent upon information of the 2014 Regulations, the Hon'ble Supreme Court directed that all cases henceforth had to be processed under the 2014 Regulations only. Since the position relating to courses in which substantive changes had been made (e.g. B.Ed), their details had to be checked w.r.t. the new Regulation. This would take time. Therefore, the NCTE (HQ) decided to issue RPROs i.e, Revised Provisional Recognition Orders as an interim measure.</p> <p>1.5 Our inspection now will be for replacing the "Provisional" Recognition with a 'Regular' Recognition under the 2014 Regulations.</p> <p>1.6 In the event, our action will amount to virtual</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>‘FR’ of the programme(s) in their modified versions. That being so, it will be an inspection u/s 14 and Not u/s 17.</p> <p>1.7 Collect fees and cause inspection wherever necessary.</p> <p>1.8 Ask VTs to collect all relevant documents.</p> <p>1.9 Ask the college to furnish updated, approved Faculty lists for the new B.Ed and M.Ed programmes.</p> <p>2. This is a RPRO case.</p> <p>3. FDRs will be required in original, in joint account, with a 5-year validity @ Rs.7/- + Rs.5/- lakhs per programme.</p>
14	APS08806	B.Ed.	Koottalumoodu Arulmigu Bhadreswari Devasthanam College of Education, Painkulum-629188, Kanyakumari District, Tamil Nadu.	TN	<p>1. They have B.Ed (2 units) and M.Ed (1 unit).</p> <p>2.1 They have requested for withdrawal of recognition of M.Ed</p>

					<p>(SRCAPP2326).</p> <p>2.2 There is no reason why SRC cannot accept their request.</p> <p>2.3 The decision for that will be taken separately in the M.Ed file, after they complete the formalities prescribed for closure of programmes.</p> <p>3.1 In the mean while, this case can be treated as an RPRO B.Ed (2 units) case.</p> <p>3.2 These changes will involve implications for adequacy of built-up area and faculty requirements.</p> <p>3.3 We have, therefore, to cause inspection if information is not already available on record.</p> <p>3.4 Inspection in this case will not be under Section 17. It will be under Section 14.</p> <p>3.5 Consequent upon</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>information of the 2014 Regulations, the Hon'ble Supreme Court directed that all cases henceforth had to be processed under the 2014 Regulations only. Since the position relating to courses in which substantive changes had been made (e.g. B.Ed), their details had to be checked w.r.t. the new Regulation. This would take time. Therefore, the NCTE (HQ) decided to issue RPROs i.e, Revised Provisional Recognition Orders as an interim measure.</p> <p>3.6 Our inspection now will be for replacing the "Provisional" Recognition with a 'Regular' Recognition under the 2014 Regulations.</p> <p>3.7 In the event, our action will amount to virtual 'FR' of the</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>programme(s) in their modified versions. That being so, it will be an inspection u/s 14 and Not u/s 17.</p> <p>3.8 Collect fees and cause inspection wherever necessary.</p> <p>3.9 Ask VTs to collect all relevant documents.</p> <p>3.10 Ask the college to furnish updated, approved Faculty lists for the new B.Ed programme</p> <p>4. Put up this case in the 367th meeting.</p> <p>5. Put up the M.Ed case in the 366th meeting.</p>
15	APS04775 -M.Ed. A0S00102 -B.Ed.	M.Ed. B.Ed	Dr. Sivanthi Aditanar College of Education, Tirunelveli Road, Tiruchendur, Thoothukudi District-628215, Tamilnadu	TN	<p>1. They have B.Ed. (2 units) given in 2000; and M.Ed. (1 Unit) given in 2006</p> <p>2.1 They have not given:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">- Latest Approved Faculty List</p>

					<p>- LUC</p> <p>- EC</p> <p>- BCC</p> <p>2.2 Ask them to submit.</p> <p>3.1 Building Plan is not legible. Ask them to furnish a fresh Building Plan.</p> <p>3.2 Certified copy of English translation of Title Deed is required.</p> <p>4.1 We have to collect all these documents and cause VT Inspection for B.Ed. (RPRO).</p> <p>3.2 These changes will involve implications for adequacy of built-up area and faculty requirements.</p> <p>3.3 We have, therefore, to cause inspection if information is not already available on</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

					<p>record.</p> <p>3.4 Inspection in this case will not be under Section 17. It will be under Section 14.</p> <p>3.5 Consequent upon information of the 2014 Regulations, the Hon'ble Supreme Court directed that all cases henceforth had to be processed under the 2014 Regulations only. Since the position relating to courses in which substantive changes had been made (e.g. B.Ed), their details had to be checked w.r.t. the new Regulation. This would take time. Therefore, the NCTE (HQ) decided to issue RPROs i.e, Revised Provisional Recognition Orders as an interim measure.</p> <p>3.6 Our inspection now will be for replacing the "Provisional"</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

				<p>Recognition with a 'Regular' Recognition under the 2014 Regulations.</p> <p>3.7 In the event, our action will amount to virtual 'FR' of the programme(s) in their modified versions. That being so, it will be an inspection u/s 14 and Not u/s 17.</p> <p>3.8 Collect fees and cause inspection wherever necessary.</p> <p>3.9 Ask VTs to collect all relevant documents.</p> <p>3.10 Ask the college to furnish updated, approved Faculty lists for the new B.Ed programme.</p> <p>5. Put up in the 367th meeting.</p>
16	APS06108	B.Ed.	Raj College of Education, No.72, Sy.no.151(part), 153(part), 365(1)part, Harveypatti, Thirupparankundram Post, Madurai District – 625005, Tamilnadu	<p>1. This is a 'closure case'.</p> <p>2.1 They have not submitted the documents required.</p> <p>2.2 Ask them to submit.</p> <p>2.3 Thereafter, put up for permitting closure.</p>

					<p>3.1 If they do not give the documents, put up for considering 'closure' independently.</p> <p>3.2 But, FDRs will be returned only when they complete the formalities.</p>
17	APS03018	B.Ed	Kasthooribha Gandhi College of Education, 1/220A, Nethaji Road, Masakalipatty Post - 637401, Tamilnadu	TN	<p>1. They have B.Ed. (old 1 Unit of 100) which has now become B.Ed. (new 2 Units of 50 each).</p> <p>2. This is a RPRO case.</p> <p>3.1 These changes will involve implications for adequacy of built-up area and faculty requirements.</p> <p>3.2 We have, therefore, to cause inspection if information is not already available on record.</p> <p>3.3 Inspection in this case will not be under Section 17. It will be under Section 14.</p> <p>3.4 Consequent upon information of the 2014 Regulations, the Hon'ble Supreme Court directed that all</p>

					<p>cases henceforth had to be processed under the 2014 Regulations only. Since the position relating to courses in which substantive changes had been made (e.g. B.Ed), their details had to be checked w.r.t. the new Regulation. This would take time. Therefore, the NCTE (HQ) decided to issue RPROs i.e, Revised Provisional Recognition Orders as an interim measure.</p> <p>3.5 Our inspection now will be for replacing the “Provisional” Recognition with a ‘Regular’ Recognition under the 2014 Regulations.</p> <p>3.6 In the event, our action will amount to virtual ‘FR’ of the programme(s) in their modified versions. That being so, it will be an inspection u/s 14</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

					<p>and Not u/s 17.</p> <p>3.7 Collect fees and cause inspection wherever necessary.</p> <p>3.8 Ask VTs to collect all relevant documents.</p> <p>3.9 Ask the college to furnish updated, approved Faculty lists for the new B.Ed and M.Ed programmes.</p>
18	APS01374 APS06331	B.Ed.- DE B.Ed.- DE-AI	Tamilnadu Open University, Directorate of Technical Education Campus, Guindy, Chennai-600 025	TN	<p>1. In this case, the issue at hand is their request for change of address.</p> <p>2.1 They say, they have been functioning from the new address since 2011.</p> <p>2.2 This is a Government University.</p> <p>2.3 In the normal course, therefore, there should be no difficulty in accepting their request.</p> <p>3. But, in this case, the address/ location has implications for the Teacher Education Programmes recognised to be run by the University. We may have to go into issues</p>

				<p>like Building Plan, BCC, Built-up area, Faculty List, etc.</p> <p>Let this matter be discussed by the SRC when more Members are present.</p>
19	SRCAPP2 883	B.Ed.	Sree Raghvendra Vidyalayam, Armoor, Nizamabad, Telangana.	<p>1. We had decided the B.Ed. case finally on 18.05.18.</p> <p>2.4 We had then incidentally referred to the B.P.Ed. case and asked Regional Director to check whether the built-up area for that is adequate.</p> <p>2.5 The B.P.Ed. case (and, not the B.Ed. case) should have been put up.</p> <p>3.1 The position is still not clear.</p> <p>3.2 We have to go into SY.No. details because there are discrepancies in the SY.Nos. listed in the Affidavit, Building Plan, BCC, and, the VTI Report.</p> <p>3.3 We must ask the Vidyalayam itself to give coursewise details</p>

					<p>of Sy. Nos., land areas, built-up areas. Wherever same lands and/or buildings cover both B.Ed. + B.P.Ed., clear earmarking of lands/ buildings for each course should be given.</p> <p>Please collect information accordingly and resubmit.</p>
20.	SRCAPP2 01630057	B.P.Ed.	Mangalore University, Konaje, Mangalore, Dakshin Kannad District -574199	KA	<p>1. This case was considered in the last meeting.</p> <p>2. After examining all details, a decision was taken to issue LOI for B.P.Ed. (1 Unit).</p> <p>3.1 This decision was erroneous because no VT Inspection had been conducted to verify facts on the spot.</p> <p>3.2.1 In realisation of this error, the SRC reviews the decision taken last time and rescinds it.</p> <p>3.3 The case may be put up again as soon as the VT Inspection Report is received.</p>
21.	SRCAPP2 947	B.Ed.	Bhagiratha College of Education, Plot/ Khasara No.45/2AP2-P1, Madhure	KA	<p>a. This case was considered in the 364th meeting.</p>

			Village and Post Office, Hosadurga Taluk & City, Chithradurga District-577527, Karnataka		<p>b. A decision was taken to issue FR for B.Ed. (2 Units) w.e.f. 2019-20.</p> <p>2.1 This decision, it is now found, was erroneous.</p> <p>2.2 It has now been pointed out that the name of the Institution, its location and, the name of the District of the in this case are all different from those in the linked case.</p> <p>2.3 In the event, the claim of the applicant about 'composite' character of the programme is discredited. In other words, this B.Ed. (2 Units) programme will remain a 'stand-alone' programme at the new location.</p> <p>3.1 In realization of this error, the SRC in its meeting today, reviews the decision earlier taken and rescinds it.</p> <p>3.2 In the end, and for the reason given above in point 2.3, the application deserves to be rejected.</p>
--	--	--	---	--	--

					<p>3.3 Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.</p> <p>4. The SRC regrets the inconvenience caused by its earlier (erroneous) decision.</p>
22.	SRCAPP2 01630099	BA.B.E d.,B.Sc. B.Ed	Pope John Paul II College of Education, Reddiarpalayam Village, Villianur Main Road, Reddiarpalayam City, Pondicherry District-605010, Pondicherry	PO	<p>1.1 This is a very old case. We had gone into the details earlier w.r.t. the court case.</p> <p>1.2 The court had concluded the case by giving a clear verdict.</p> <p>2.1 They have running the following 4-year integrated courses:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - B.Sc.B.Ed. (Computer Science) – 1 Unit - B.Com. B.Ed. (Commerce) – 1 Unit - B.Sc. B.Ed. (Maths) – 1 Unit - B.A. B.Ed. (English) – 1 Unit <p>2.2 The decision was:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The course in Computer Science is not approved since it is not a School Subject. - The course in Commerce

					<p>is not approved since it is not a School Subject.</p> <p>- The B.Sc. B.Ed. (Maths) is approved without specifying Maths, in the nomenclature; it will have 1 unit of 50.</p> <p>- The B.A.B.Ed. (English) is approved without specifying 'English' in the nomenclature; it will have 1 unit of 50.</p> <p>3.1 Their request now is for one additional unit of 50.</p> <p>3.2 They should clarify whether they want it in B.A. B.Ed. or B.Sc., B.Ed.</p> <p>4.1 They should give a Faculty List of 1 + 15 for the course in which they want the additional unit for the other course they should have a Faculty of 1 + 9.</p> <p>4.2 The Faculty List given is in photocopy. They should give in original.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>4.3 The list approved by the University is in fragments. They should give lists of 1+9 and 1+15 coursewise.</p> <p>4.4 The lists given still show subjectwise courses which is violative of the court order and of the 2014 Regulations.</p> <p>5. The built-up area required is (2000 + 1500) = 3500 sq.mts. They have 6922 sq.mts.</p> <p>6. FDRs are required in original, in joint account, with a 5-year validity @ Rs.7+5 lakhs for each course.</p> <p>7. Processing Fees should be paid in (original) DDs.</p> <p>8. NOC of the affiliating body has not been given; there was a time-limit prescribed for that.</p> <p>9. LUC from Talisildar, alongwith a Mutation</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

					<p>Certificate, is required for establishing the permitted land use at present.</p> <p>10. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.</p>
23.	APS02678	M.P.Ed	Katipally Ravinder Reddy College of Education, 6-2-157/28, Suchash Nagar, Nizamabad-503002, Andhra Pradeesh	AP	<p>1. This is a RPRO case of B.Ed. (1 Unit) course.</p> <p>3.1 We had examined the case in detail and listed the issued for attention in our 363rd meeting.</p> <p>2.2 SRO should carefully pursue them.</p> <p>3. Our Lawyer has advised appeal in this case. No particular advantage will flow from the appeal. We had not file an appeal.</p> <p>4.1 Collect fees and can be inspection.</p> <p>4.2 W.r.t. our summary minutes of the 363rd meeting, list out the points for careful attention by the V.P.</p> <p>5. Put up in the 367th meeting.</p>

24.	APS07134	B.Ed.	Priyadarshini College of Education, SY No.405/44, Housing Board Colony, Armour, Nizamabad-503224, Andhra Pradesh	AP	<p>1. This is a RPRO case of B.Ed. (1 Unit) course.</p> <p>1.1 We had examined the case in detail and listed the issued for attention in our 363rd meeting.</p> <p>2.2 SRO should carefully pursue them.</p> <p>3. Our Lawyer has advised appeal in this case. No particular advantage will flow from the appeal. We had not file an appeal.</p> <p>4.1 Collect fees and can be inspection.</p> <p>4.2 W.r.t. our summary minutes of the 363rd meeting, list out the points for careful attention by the V.P.</p> <p>5. Put up in the 367th meeting.</p>
25.	AOSO0520	B.Ed.	Sri Sai College of Education, Munipally, Jakranpally Mandal, Nizamabad District – 503001, Telangana	TE	<p>1. This is a RPRO case of B.Ed. (1 Unit) course.</p> <p>2.1 We had examined the case in detail and listed the issued for attention in our 363rd meeting.</p> <p>2.2 SRO should carefully</p>

					<p>pursue them.</p> <p>3. Our Lawyer has advised appeal in this case. No particular advantage will flow from the appeal. We had not file an appeal.</p> <p>4.1 Collect fees and can be inspection.</p> <p>4.2 W.r.t. our summary minutes of the 363rd meeting, list out the points for careful attention by the V.P.</p> <p>5. Put up in the 367th meeting.</p>
26.	APSO4366	TTC	Government Teacher Training Institute, Cheruvattoor Post, Nellikuzhi Village, Kothamagalam, Ernakulam District-686691, Kerala	KE	<p>1. The court order has stayed our order and given time till 06.01.19 to the TTI to complete construction.</p> <p>2. Put up in our 367th meeting.</p>
27.	APS02268	B.Ed.	Manjula Mallesh College of Education, Canara Bank Colony, Nagarabhavi Road, Bangalore-560072, Karnataka	KA	<p>1. This is a case in which the college has been using the judicial route to get round procedures/ conditions imposed by NCTE & the affiliating University.</p>

					<p>2.1 We have listed the details in our minutes dt. 17-18 May 2018.</p> <p>2.2 The information given therein should be brought to the notice of the Hon'ble High Court at the next hearing during November 2018. Inform the Lawyer accordingly.</p> <p>3. Put up in the 366th meeting.</p>
28.	APS07029	B.Ed.	Vijay College of Education for Women, Mubarak Nagar, Nizamabad District-503003, Telangana	TE	<p>1. This is a closure case.</p> <p>2. They have completed all the formalities.</p> <p>2.2 Their request for permission to close down the B.Ed. Course w.e.f. 2018-2019 is accepted.</p> <p>2.3 Issue a formal order accordingly.</p> <p>4.1 Withdraw the recognition given to B.Ed. (2 Units).</p> <p>4.2 Return FDRs, if any.</p> <p>5. Inform the affiliating University.</p> <p>6. Close the file.</p>

365th Meeting of SRC
Held on 31st October to 1st November, 2018

(Dr. J.D.Singh)
Member

(Dr. J.Prasad)
Member

(Smt. Gayatri T.N.)
Member

(Sh. A.Narender)
Member

(S.Sathnam)
Chairperson